# Certification of Consistency

**Certification ID: C20184** 

A. GOVERNMENT AGENCY:		State Agency	Local Agency	
Government Agency:	Solano County			
Primary Contact:	Nedzelene Ferrario			
Address:	675 Texas Street			
City, State, Zip:	Fairfield, CA 94533			
Telephone/Fax:	707-784-6765 /			
E-mail Address:	sue@solanolandtrust	.org		

## **Step 2 - Covered Action Profile**

IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT YOU ENGAGE IN EARLY CONSULTATION WITH DSC STAFF AND/OR COMPLETE THE COVERED ACTION CHECKLIST TO DETERMINE IF THE PLAN, PROGRAM OR PROJECT IS CONSIDERED A COVERED ACTION AND TO IDENTIFY RELEVANT DECLII ATODY DOLLCIES

ILL	JOEATOKI I OLICILIS							
A.	COVERED ACTION PROFILE:		Plan		Program	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Project	
	Title: Rush Ranch Lower Sprin	g Branch Creek And Sı	uisun Hill	Hollow Tidal	Connections	Project		
В.	PROPONENT CARRYING OUT	COVERED ACTION (If d	ifferent t	han State or Lo	ocal Agency):	:		
	Proponent Name:	Solano Land Trust						
	Address:	700 Main Street Suit	te 210					
	City, State, Zip:	Suisun City, CA 9458	35					
C.	AT LEAST 10 DAYS PRIOR TO THE SUBMISSION OF A CERTIFICATION OF CONSISTENCY TO THE COUNCIL, agencies whose actions are not subject to open meeting laws (Bagley-Keene Open Meeting Act [Gov. Code sec 11120 et seq.]) or the Brown Act [Gov. Code sec 54950 et seq.]) with regard to its certification, must post for public review and comment, their draft certification on their website and it their office, and mail to all persons requesting notice. Any state or local public agency that is subject to open meeting laws with regard to its certification is also encouraged to take those							nd in
	actions. (Note: Any public comments re	eceived during this pro	cess mus	t be included i	in the record	submitted to	the Council in case of an appe	eal.)
	If applicable, did you comply v	with this requirement?	?	☐ YES	<b>☑</b> NO	□ N/A		
	AMMP Draft_SHH-LSBC_2018- (2) August 20 2015.pdf - Adobe			_		•	f, PMRR_ISMND_FINAL_5-10	<u>rev</u>
D.	COVERED ACTION SUMMARY	(Project Description fr	rom appr	oved CEQA do	cument may	be used here)		
	Step 2 Item D Lower Spring Branch Creek-Sui	sun Hill Hollow Tidal C	Connectio	ns Proiect				

**Project Description** 

The purposes of the Rush Ranch Lower Spring Branch Creek and Suisun Hill Hollow restoration projects are to reconnect the local watersheds to the tidal and diked wetlands, restore native wetland and lower watershed natural habitats, and improve the public access experience at Rush Ranch including the scientific research and educational programs active on the property. Reconnecting these ecosystems will remove barriers to movement of organisms and materials between the wetlands and watershed and expand estuarine transgression space that will allow habitats to move up the drainage gradient as sea levels rise.

**Project Design Elements** 

This section briefly describes the restoration design elements at the Lower Spring Branch Creek and Suisun Hill Hollow sites. A complete description of the project design can be found in the project Draft 100% Restoration Design Report (Siegel Environmental 2018). **Lower Spring Branch Creek** 

The Lower Spring Branch Creek tidal marsh restoration consists of eight actions described in the following sections. The specific design elements associated with these actions are displayed in Figure 10, while the resulting restored and enhanced habitats and features are displayed in Figure 11. Conceptual cross sections of the design elements are presented in Figure 12. The project elements, relative to USACE and BCDC jurisdiction, are displayed in Figure 13. The restoration actions include:

- 1) Replace the channel crossing culverts through the ranch road/public access path with a single arch culvert
- 2) Lower sections of the ranch road/public access path to allow overtopping during high flow events and improve the path surface
- 3) Lower the L-shaped berm within the muted tidal floodplain marsh to match adjacent marsh elevations
- 4) Excavate a new tidal channel in the muted tidal floodplain marsh, connected to the new arch culvert
- 5) Create a turnout at existing grade, adjacent to the new channel crossing, to facilitate public access and scientific research/monitoring
- 6) Fill in a relict cut in a nearby hillslope with excess excavated material
- 7) Install a new interpretive sign on Grinding Rock Hill
- 8) Native plant revegetation efforts.

The areas and excavation/fill volumes for earthwork elements associated with these actions are provided in Table 3, below.

Table 3. Earthwork Elements, Lower Spring Branch Creek Project Project Action1 Total Area (ac) Excavation (CY) Fill

(CY)

- 1. Replace channel crossing 2 0.074 137 159
- 2. Lower and resurface ranch road/public access path 0.110 99 35
- 3. Lower L-shaped berm 0.471 337 0
- 4. Excavate tidal channel 0.450 2,100-3,000 0
- 5. Create public access turnout 0.010 0 0
- 6. Fill in relict hillslope cut 0.217 0 2,479-3,379

Total 1.332 2,673-3,573 2,673-3,573

1Does not include non-earthwork project actions (sign installation and revegetation)

2Does not include structural fill from the new culvert itself

#### Suisun Hill Hollow

Five restoration actions are planned at Suisun Hill Hollow to achieve the project goals. The specific design elements associated with these actions are displayed in Figure 19, while the resulting restored and enhanced habitats and features are displayed in Figure 20. Conceptual cross sections for the design elements are presented in Figure 21. The project elements, relative to USACE and BCDC jurisdiction, are displayed on Figure 22. The specific restoration actions include:

- 1) Lower the impoundment berm and establish a high-water overflow bench and public access path across the valley floor
- 2) Lower the quarry haul road through the valley to match adjacent grades
- 3) Excavate alkali vernal pool depressions within the valley floor
- 4) Lay back the slope from the valley floor up to the quarry and remove relict soil mounds
- 5) Use excavated soils to fill in an old borrow pit and quarry slopes
- 6) The areas and excavation/fill volumes for earthwork elements associated with these actions are provided in Table 5 below.

Table 5. Earthwork Elements, Suisun Hill Hollow Project Action Total Area (ac) Excavation (CY) Fill (CY)

- 1. Lower impoundment berm and establish public access path 0.068 127 0
- 2. Lower quarry haul road 0.140 165 0
- 3. Excavate alkali vernal pool depressions 0.253 329 0
- 4. Lay back guarry slope 0.391 1,352 0
- 5. Fill in borrow pit and quarry slope 0.529 0 1,973

Total 1.381 1,973 1,973

Step 2 Part D PD SHH-LSBC 2018-0717sws.docx

NOD has been filed **STATUS IN THE CEQA PROCESS:** 

STATE CLEARINGHOUSE NUMBER:

2015082073 (if applicable)

G. COVERED ACTION ESTIMATED TIME LINE:

ANTICIPATED START DATE: (If available) 9/1/2018 ANTICIPATED END DATE: (If available) 11/1/2018

- COVERED ACTION TOTAL ESTIMATED PROJECT COST: \$350,000.00
- IF A CERTIFICATION OF CONSISTENCY FOR THIS COVERED ACTION WAS PREVIOUSLY SUBMITTED, LIST DSC REFERENCE NUMBER ASSIGNED TO THAT CERTIFICATION FORM:
- SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS:

# Step 3 - Consistency with the Delta Plan

### **DELTA PLAN CHAPTER 2**

G P1 / 23 CCR SECTION 5002 – Detailed Findings to Establish Consistency with the Delta Plan.

In General: (23 CCR SECTION 5002 (a), (b), (1)) This regulatory policy specifies what must be addressed in a certification of consistency filed by a State or local public agency with regard to any covered action.

This regulatory policy only applies after a "proposed action" has been determined by a State or local public agency to be a covered action because it is covered by one or more of the regulatory policies listed under Delta Plan Chapters 3, 4, 5, and 7 of this form. Inconsistency with this policy may be the basis for an appeal.

Covered actions, in order to be consistent with the Delta Plan, must be consistent with this regulatory policy and with each of the regulatory policies listed under Delta Plan Chapters 3, 4, 5 and 7 of this form implicated by the covered action. The Delta Stewardship Council acknowledges that in some cases, based upon the nature of the covered action, full consistency with all relevant regulatory policies may not be feasible. In those cases, the agency that files the certification of consistency may nevertheless determine that the covered action is consistent with the Delta Plan because, on whole, that action is consistent with the coequal goals. That determination must include a clear identification of areas where consistency with relevant regulatory policies is not feasible, an explanation of the reasons why it is not feasible, and an explanation of how the covered action nevertheless, on whole, is consistent with the coequal goals. That determination is subject to review by the Delta Stewardship Council on appeal;

#### Specific requirements of this regulatory policy:

Mitigation Measures (23 CCR SECTION 5002 (b), (2))

a.	The o	The covered action is not exempt from CEQA, and includes applicable feasible mitigation measures identified in the Delta Plan's Program Environmental Impact Report, (unless the measure(s) are within the exclusive jurisdiction of an agency other than the agency that files the certification of consistency), or substitute mitigation measures that the agency that files the certification of consistency finds are equally or more effective.						
	Is the	e covered action consist	tent with this portion o	f the regulatory	policy?			
	$\checkmark$	YES		NO		N/A		
		Answer Justification:	_	-	tion attached. <u>table-mi</u> gn Plans LSBC SHH_201	_	MMP Draft_SHH-LSBC_2018-	
b.		<b>Available Science (</b> 23 Covered action documen		* *	ant to the purpose and	nature of the pr	roject.	
	Is the	e covered action consist	tent with this portion o	f the regulatory	policy? Appendix 1A is	s referenced in	this regulatory policy.	
	$\checkmark$	YES		NO		N/A		
		Answer Justification:	See attached discussion	on <u>Best Available</u>	Science_SHH-LSBC_201	<u> 18-0717sws.doc</u>	<u>x</u>	
c.	Adaptive Management (23 CCR SECTION 5002 (b), (4)) The covered action involves ecosystem restoration or water management, and includes adequate provisions, appropriate to its scope to assure continued implementation of adaptive management						s, appropriate to its scope,	
	Is the	e covered action consist	tent with this portion o	of the regulatory	policy? <u>Appendix 1B</u> is	referenced in t	his regulatory policy.	
	$\checkmark$	YES		NO		N/A		
		Answer Justification:	Adaptive Managemen	t Plan Attached <u>/</u>	AMMP Draft_SHH-LSBC	2018-0626.pdf		
DEL.	TA PL	AN CHAPTER 3						
	WR P	21 / 23 CCR SECTION 50	03 - Reduce Reliance o	n the Delta thro	ugh Improved Regional	Water Self-Reli	iance	
	Is the	e covered action consist	tent with this regulator	y policy?				
		YES		NO	$\checkmark$	N/A		
		Answer Justification:	This project only conce	erns restoration.				

	WR P2 / 23 CCR SECTION 5004 - Transparency in Water Contracting						
	Is the covered action consistent with this regulatory policy? <u>Appendix 2A</u> and <u>Appendix 2B</u> are referenced in this regulatory pol						v nolicy
		YES		NO		N/A	y poncy.
		Answer Justification:	this project only conc	erns restoration	_	·	
DFI	ΤΔ ΡΙ	AN CHAPTER 4					
DEL		servation Measure: (23	CCR SECTION 5002 (c))				
		•			- <b>*</b>		
		that was:	oposed to be implemen	ited pursuant to a n	atural community col	nservation plan or a habitat con	iservation
		eveloped by a local gover spproved and permitted			Wildlife prior to May	16 2012	
	is de	emed to be consistent	with the regulatory po	licies listed under De	elta Plan Chapter 4 of	this form (i.e. sections 5005 th	
		<ul><li>)) if the certification of conservation measure f</li></ul>				des a statement confirming the	nature of
			•			observation of Fish and Million and	::-!-1- <b>2</b>
	is a s	YES	ne nature of the conser	vation measure from	n the California Depa  √	rtment of Fish and Wildlife ava N/A	ilable?
	ш	Answer Justification:	The project is in Suisu		_	•	
					tile Sulsuli Maisii Fiai	11.	
	<u>ER P</u>	1 / 23 CCR SECTION 500	<u>J5</u> - Delta Flow Objectiv	es es			
	Is th	e covered action consis	tent with this regulato	ry policy?			
		YES		NO		N/A	
			this project only conc				
	ER P	2 / 23 CCR SECTION 500	<u>)6</u> - Restore Habitats at	Appropriate Elevat	ions		
	Is th	e covered action consis	tent with this regulato	ry policy? <u>Appendix</u>	3 and Appendix 4 are	e referenced in this regulatory p	olicy.
	$\overline{\checkmark}$	YES		NO		N/A	
		Answer Justification:	see attached restorat	ion plans <u>Draft 100%</u>	Restoration Design P	lans LSBC SHH_2018-0605.pdf	
	ER P	3 / 23 CCR SECTION 500	07 - Protect Opportunit	ies to Restore Habit	at		
	Is th	e covered action consis	tent with this regulato	ry policy? <u>Appendix</u>	4 and Appendix 5 are	e referenced in this regulatory p	olicy.
		YES		NO		N/A	
		Answer Justification:	The project is located	on property already	protected by the Sola	ano Land Trust.	
	ER P	4 / 23 CCR SECTION 500	08 - Expand Floodplains	and Riparian Habit	ats in Levee Projects		
	Is th	e covered action consis	tent with this regulato	ry policy? <u>Appendix</u>	8 is referenced in this	s regulatory policy.	
		YES		NO	$\checkmark$	N/A	
		Answer Justification:	Not located on a leve	e			
	ER P	5 / 23 CCR SECTION 500	<u>09</u> - Avoid Introduction	s of and Habitat for	Invasive Nonnative S	pecies	
	Is th	e covered action consis	tent with this regulato	ry policy?			
	$\checkmark$	YES		NO		N/A	
		Answer Justification:				IP Draft_SHH-LSBC_2018-0626.p	odf, <u>Draft</u>
		, answer Justinication.	100% Restoration Des	ign Plans LSBC SHH_	<u>2018-0605.pdf</u>		

ELTA PLAN CHAPTER 5								
	DP P1 / 23 CCR SECTION 5010 - Locate New Urban Development Wisely							
	Is the	e covered action consis	tent with this regulator	y policy? <u>Appe</u>	ndix 6 and Appendix 7 a	re refe	erenced in this regulatory policy.	
		YES		NO	v	] N/	A	
		Answer Justification:	Not a development pr	oject.				
	DP P	2 / 23 CCR SECTION 501	L1 - Respect Local Land	Use When Sitin	ng Water or Flood Facilit	ies or	Restoring Habitats	
	Is the	e covered action consis	tent with this regulator	y policy?				
	$\checkmark$	YES		NO		] N/	A	
		Answer Justification:	=	. see attached (	CEQA document discussi		ated on protected conservation lands <u>MRR_ISMND_FINAL_5-10rev (2)</u>	
Εl	TA PL	AN CHAPTER 7						
	RR P	1 - Prioritization of Stat	e Investments in Delta	Levees and Ris	k Reduction			
	Is the	e covered action consis	tent with this regulator	y policy?				
		YES		NO	v		A	
		Answer Justification:	Not a levee project.					
	RR P	2 - Require Flood Prote	ction for Residential De	velopment in F	Rural Areas.			
	Is the	e covered action consis	tent with this regulator	y policy? <u>Appe</u>	ndix 7 is referenced in t	his reg	ulatory policy.	
		YES		NO	v		A	
		Answer Justification:	Not a development pr	oject.				
	RR P	3 - Protect Floodways						
	Is the	e covered action consis	tent with this regulator	y policy?				
		YES		NO	v	] N/	A	
		Answer Justification:	Not a flood control pro	oject.				
	RR P	4 - Floodplain Protectio	n					
	Is the	e covered action consis	tent with this regulator	y policy?				
		YES		NO	<b>▼</b>	1 N/	A	
		Answer Justification:	Not a floodplain prote	ction project.				
				. ,				